גורביץ ולדימיר

From: Vladimir Gurevich <vladimir.gurevich@gmail.com>

Sent: 13:00 2020 מאי 09 שבת 90 מאי

To: Emmett.Dages@taylorandfrancis.com

Subject: Claim No. 4

May 09, 2020

Attention to Mr. Emmett Dages

Chief Operating Officer of Taylor & Francis Group

Emmett.Dages@taylorandfrancis.com

Helo, Mr. Dages,

I would like to complete our long correspondence as soon as possible and hand over the documents to the lawyer. This requires a very accurate understanding of the attitude of Taylor & Francis to my claims. Unfortunately, there is still no such clear and precise understanding. Therefore, I want to formulate the attitude of Taylor & Francis to each of my claims separately and ask you whether I understand you correctly.

Claim No. 4

The data submitted by Taylor & Francis officials contains errors recognized by them themselves

Facts:

- 4.1. The table included in the Email of Mrs. Christin Harrington (Royalty Department Manager) at March 30, 2020 mentioned 29 copies om my books marked as "OPEN". According to Mrs. Christin Harrington citation:
- "I believe these open units to be an error in the royalty inquiry performed by the royalty clerk Stephen Kruszewski in September."
- 4.2. That is, 29 copies appear in the Taylor & Francis data as sold copies of books for which royalties have not been paid. Instead of paying the author at least a miniscule amount of royalties for these 29 copies, the Taylor & Francis interprets its own mistake in its favor and refuses to pay the author even this meager amount.
- 4.3. According to Mr. Emmett Dages (Chief Operating Officer of Taylor & Francis Group) Email at May 8, 2020 citation:

"No further royalty payments are owed. Explanations have been provided to you already..."

Conclusion:

The Taylor & Francis interprets its own recognized mistakes in its favor and refuses to return even a tiny amount of royalties to the author. This is a shameful attitude of such a huge international company as Taylor & Francis to its authors.

The confirmation:

Please confirm very briefly that the above my understanding of the situation is correct. And if it's not true, then what exactly.

Thanks.

Regards,

Dr. Vladimir Gurevich

Best regards, Vladimir

mailto:vladimir.gurevich@gmail.com